

# **RULES OF STUDY AT THE FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS OF CHARLES UNIVERSITY**

Consolidated version of 1 September 2020

*Under Sections 27 (1) (b) and 33 (2) (f) of Act No. 111/1998 Sb., to regulate higher education institutions and to change and amend other laws (“the Higher Education Act”), as amended, and under Article 20 (2) (c) of the Constitution of the Faculty of Mathematics and Physics of Charles University, the Academic Senate of the Faculty of Mathematics and Physics has adopted the following Rules of Study at the Faculty of Mathematics and Physics of Charles University as an internal regulation of the Faculty:*

## **Article 1 Introductory Provision**

These Rules of Study at the Faculty of Mathematics and Physics of Charles University (“the Rules”) determine, in compliance with Article 19 (2) and the related provisions of the Code of Study and Examination of Charles University (“the Code” and “the University”), the requirements for programmes of study offered at the Faculty of Mathematics and Physics of Charles University (“the Faculty”), and they provide details of the organization of study at the Faculty.\*

## **Part I Requirements for Bachelor’s and Master’s Programmes of Study under the Code**

### **Article 2 Units of Study** (under Article 4 (6) of the Code)

1. The first two units of study in all bachelor’s programmes of study offered at the Faculty correspond to semesters; the following units of study correspond to years of study.
2. The units of study in all master’s programmes of study offered at the Faculty correspond to years of study.

### **Article 2a Choice of Specialization** (under Article 5 (5) of the Code)

In the case of bachelor’s programmes of study with specialisations, a student must choose the specialisation by the end of the third unit of study.

---

\*\* Translator’s note: Words importing the masculine include the feminine, and unless the context otherwise requires, words in the singular include the plural and words in the plural include the singular.

Article 3  
Minimum Number of Credits  
(under Article 5 (6) of the Code)

1. The minimum number of credits required for registration for the subsequent unit of study in bachelor's programmes of study is as follows:
  - a) 12 credits for registration for the second unit of study;
  - b) 45 credits for registration for the third unit of study;
  - c) 90 credits for registration for the fourth unit of study;
  - d) 135 credits for registration for the fifth unit of study;
  - e) 180 credits for registration for the sixth unit of study;
  - f) 225 credits for registration for the seventh unit of study.
2. The minimum number of credits required for registration to the subsequent unit of study in master's programmes of study is as follows:
  - a) 45 credits for registration for the second unit of study;
  - b) 90 credits for registration for the third unit of study;
  - c) 120 credits for registration for the fourth unit of study;
  - d) 165 credits for registration for the fifth unit of study.

**Article 4**  
**Proportion of Credits Acquired for Optional Subjects for the Purposes of Continuous Assessment of the Course of Study**  
(under Article 5 (8) of the Code)

1. In bachelor's programmes of study, the proportion of the number of credits acquired by a student for the completion of optional subjects to the number of credits specified in Article 5 (5) of the Code (the normal number of credits) relevant for a given continuous assessment of the course of study is as follows:
  - a) 15% for the first to third units of study;
  - b) 30% for the fourth to sixth units of study.

The Dean decides on the inclusion of credits for optional subjects which exceed said ratio for the purposes of continuous assessment.
2. In master's programmes of study, the proportion of the number of credits acquired by a student for the completion of optional subjects to the normal number of credits relevant for a given continuous assessment of the course of study is at most:
  - a) 30% after the first unit of study;
  - b) 50% after the second unit of study;
  - c) 70% after the third and fourth units of study.

The Dean decides on the inclusion of credits for optional subjects which exceed said ratio for the purposes of continuous assessment.

### **Article 5 Individual Curriculum**

The special case of an application for an individual curriculum is an application submitted by a first-year student in a master's programme of study for the purposes of filling in missing knowledge that is covered by one of the bachelor's programmes of study offered at the Faculty, namely the application submitted on recommendation from the guarantor of the respective programme of study no later than at the beginning of the student's studies. The application should include a proposed individual curriculum prepared by the guarantor of the respective programme of study. The Dean usually grants such applications.

### **Article 6 Right to Register for a Subject and Cancel the Registration (under Article 7 (2) of the Code)**

1. The right of a student to register for a subject taught at the Faculty is restricted by:
  - a) the curriculum of a programme of study offered at the Faculty if the curriculum makes the registration for a respective subject, which is included as a compulsory or elective one, subject to conditions under Article 7 (5) (a) of the Code (pre-requisites or co-requisites), or precludes it under Article 7 (5) (c) or (e) of the Code (incompatibility);
  - b) capacity reasons under Article 7 (8) of the Code; in such cases priority to register for a respective subject is always given to students who register for it in compliance with the recommended curriculum; details may be provided in a Dean's measure;
  - c) the fact that the subject is not being taught in a given semester.
2. Registration for a subject may be cancelled or replaced upon the student making an application. Such an application is granted if the student has not attempted to fulfil any form of assessment of study in the subject. Otherwise, an application is granted only exceptionally and in justified cases. Registration for a subject is also cancelled if the subject is not being taught.

### **Article 7 Repeated Registration for a Subject (under Article 7 (9) of the Code)**

1. Unless otherwise expressly stipulated in the Student Information System of Charles University ("SIS"), a student cannot register more than once for a subject if he has, in compliance with the curriculum, completed that subject, or a subject incompatible therewith (i.e., excluded from registration under Article 7 (5) (e) of the Code), in previous years of the same study programme.
2. Unless otherwise expressly stipulated in SIS, a student cannot register for one subject more than once in one unit of study.

3. If a student fails to successfully pass the assessment of study in the subject registered for, he may register for the subject again, provided that the total number of registrations for that subject, and subjects with which it can be replaced (under Article 7 (5) (f) of the Code), does not exceed two registrations. This provision does not apply to subjects defined as repeatedly registerable in SIS.

**Article 8**  
**Course Credit and Graded Course Credit**  
(under Article 8 (4) and (7) of the Code)

1. The conditions for obtaining a course credit, or graded course credit, are determined by the tutor upon approval from the guarantor of the subject. The conditions may include attendance, successful passing of tests (be they entrance, mid-term, or final tests), presentation of records or submission of a written report, timely completion of tasks, active participation in the instruction, debugging software, presentation of a paper, etc. The details and deadlines for setting these conditions are set out in a Dean's measure.
2. Unless it is excluded by the nature of the assessment of study in a subject, a student has the right to one regular and two resit dates. Otherwise, the number of resit dates is zero. A tutor may, with the consent of the guarantor of the subject and within the deadline determined in the Dean's measure under Paragraph 1 above, but no later than at the beginning of the semester, set a higher number of resit dates; such an increased number of resit dates will be published in SIS no later than at the beginning of the semester.
3. If both course credit and examination is required to complete a subject, the obtaining of course credit is not a prerequisite for taking the examination in the given subject, unless otherwise stipulated in SIS by the guarantor of the subject at the beginning of the semester.

**Article 9**  
**Publishing Results of Written Forms of Study Assessment**  
(under Article 8 (10) of the Code)

1. The examiner is obliged to grade a written part of the examination taken during the examination period, and to publish the results thereof without undue delay, but no later than within 3 working days of the date of the examination.
2. In the case of examinations taken outside the examination period, Article 8 (10) of the Code applies.

**Article 10**  
**Taking Parts of the State Final Examinations**  
(under Article 9 (5) and (9) of the Code)

1. In bachelor's and master's programmes of study in the field of study Computer Science, and in bachelor's programmes of study in the field of study Mathematics and Physics, with the exception of bachelor's programmes of study focused on education and master's programmes of study taking the form of combined study which also belong to the field of study of Teaching, no sequence of taking individual parts of the State Final Examinations is stipulated. A student does not need to register at the same time for all parts of the State Final Examinations that he has not yet passed.

2. In master's programmes of study in the field of study of Physics and Mathematics, with the exception of master's programmes of study taking the form of combined study belonging also to the field of study of Teaching, defence of a final thesis precedes the last part of the State Final Examination, and a student must always register at the same time for all parts of the State Final Examination that he has not yet passed.
3. In bachelor's programmes of study focused on education, and in master's programmes of study taking the form of combined study that also belong to the field of study of Teaching, defence of the final thesis precedes that part of the State Final Examination that corresponds to the topic of the final thesis; a student must always register at the same time for these two parts the State Final Examination, provided that he has not yet passed any of them.
4. No required number of credits is stipulated to take any part of the State Final Examination except the last part.

### **Article 11**

#### **Stricter or Additional Requirements for Graduating with Distinction**

(under Article (9) (13) of the Code)

An additional requirement for graduating with distinction is the fact that a student has, for the entire duration of study, achieved only the grades "excellent" ("výborně") or "very good" ("velmi dobře") in all taken subjects that were concluded by an exam, with the exception of one subject in which he achieved the grade "good" ("dobře"), provided that he proves, in a verification exam taken solely for the purposes of checking the fulfilment of said requirement, that he has knowledge corresponding to the grade of "excellent" or "very good". The verification exam in a respective subject is taken at the student's request on a date determined by the Dean, but no sooner than after 75% of the minimum number of credits required for the registration for the last part of the State Final Examination has been achieved; the exam must be passed prior to the registration for the last part of the State Examination. The verification exam is not a form of study assessment, and can be taken only once. The grade originally achieved in the given subject does not change upon the successful passing of the verification exam.

### **Article 12**

#### **Recognition of Acquired Credits in a Post-Bachelor Programme of Study**

(under Article 8 (17) of the Code)

1. Upon application of a student in a post-bachelor programme of study, the Dean usually recognises the fulfilment of assessment of study, and decides that credits be assigned for subjects passed by the student in the completed bachelor's programme of study, provided that the following conditions are satisfied simultaneously:
  - a) the subject concerned was an elective or optional subject in the completed bachelor's programme of study;
  - b) the subject concerned is, under the student's curriculum, a compulsory or elective subject in the post-bachelor programme of study being studied, or an equivalent thereof;

- c) credits acquired for elective and optional subjects under subparagraph a) hereof exceeded the number of credits required for the completion of the bachelor's programme of study;
  - d) credits acquired for elective subjects under subparagraph a) hereof exceeded the number of credits for elective subjects required in the completed bachelor's programme of study;
  - e) the subject is not one in which the grade "good" was achieved;
  - f) the student is applying for the recognition of the fulfilment of assessment of study and the assignment of credits for subjects passed in the bachelor's programme of study for the first time.
2. The Dean can, at the student's request, recognise the fulfilment of an obligation and not assign any credits.

## **Part II**

### **Detailed Rules of Study in Bachelor's and Master's Programmes of Study**

#### **Article 13**

##### **Student Information System of Charles University**

1. Registration for subjects takes place each semester during a period determined in the Academic Calendar of the Faculty. Registrations are made electronically via SIS. Registration for subjects is only provisional until the end of the period intended for registration, and during this period students may change their registration as necessary. After the period for registration for subjects expires, the Student Registry of the Faculty will confirm the student's provisional registration for subjects the registration of which he has qualified for; the student cannot independently make any other changes in the registration for subjects.
2. The dates of the assessment of study of a subject are published by the respective tutor in SIS. A student may register for an examination in a given registered subject on these dates a maximum of three times, unless the procedure following a proper excuse under Article 8 (14) (iii) of the Code applies.
3. The results of the examination or course credit are recorded in SIS by the respective examiner no later than within 3 working days of the date of the relevant assessment of study; the student should check the result without undue delay. Should the student believe there is an error, he should immediately notify the examiner, who will, after considering the issue, remedy the situation if necessary. Should the examiner fail to do so, the student is entitled to apply for rectification of the situation to the head of the department that provides tuition of the given subject, who will take the necessary steps to resolve it.
4. Continuous assessment of the course of study is carried out by the Faculty within the period determined in the Academic Calendar of the Faculty based on information disseminated in SIS.

#### **Article 14**

##### **Information about the Course of Study**

Information about the course of study is made accessible to the student via SIS.

**Article 15**  
**Verification of Identity at the Assessment of Study**

Where necessary the examiner will verify the identity of a student. A similar procedure applies for each part of the State Final Examination.

**Article 16**  
**Graduation Thesis and State Final Examination**

1. A student who has fulfilled the conditions set in the curriculum has the right to be assigned a graduation thesis (i.e., either a bachelor's thesis or a diploma thesis). The student applies for the assignment of a graduation thesis topic chosen from among topics offered by the Faculty via SIS; if a student wishes to propose his own graduation thesis topic, he is entitled to approach any teacher in respect thereof. If the teacher approves the topic, he will publish it in SIS and the student will register for it. If a person who has published a graduation thesis topic agrees that he will act as the applicant's thesis advisor, and the head of the respective department consents thereto, the Dean will assign the chosen final work to the student. Records of assigned graduation theses are maintained by the Student Registry of the Faculty.
2. The student may apply to the Dean to change graduation thesis topic. The Dean will grant such an application if he finds it well-grounded.
3. A thesis advisor may apply to the Dean to be discharged from the duty to act as thesis advisor. The Dean will grant such an application if he finds it well-grounded. If the Dean grants the request, he will at the same time appoint another thesis advisor for the student. Discharging a thesis advisor from the obligation to act as thesis advisor is considered serious grounds for a student's application to change the topic of his graduation thesis. The Dean will usually grant such applications.
4. The final thesis must be written in Czech, Slovak or English; if approved by the Dean, the final thesis can also be written in another language. The formalities are regulated in a Dean's measure.
5. The student must submit his graduation thesis by the deadline determined in the Academic Calendar of the Faculty; details are set out in a Dean's measure issued upon approval by the Academic Senate of the Faculty. The Chair of the examination board appoints a reviewer who will produce a report on the thesis submitted. The thesis advisor will also submit a report on the thesis. The student has the right to familiarise himself with these reports via SIS no later than 5 working days prior to the date of the thesis defence.
6. The graduation thesis defence consists of a short presentation by the student, the reviewer's and advisor's assessment, the student's answers to questions raised by the reviewer, the student's answers to questions asked by members of the examination board, and a short follow-up discussion with those persons present; procedural details are determined by the Chair of the examination board.
7. If the student fails to defend his graduation thesis, he can either rewrite it upon recommendation from the examination board and submit it by on one of the subsequent deadlines for submission given in the Academic Calendar, or apply to the Dean for the assignment of a new final thesis; a new thesis assignment does not give rise to the right to extra dates for the thesis defence.

8. The student is obliged to register for the State Final Examination or a part thereof at the Student Registry of the Faculty within the period determined in the Academic Calendar of the Faculty.

**Part III**  
**Detailed Rules of Study in Doctoral Programmes of Study**

**Article 17**  
**Subject Area Board**

1. Under the provisions of Section 47 (6) of Act No. 111/1998 Sb., to regulate higher education institutions and to change and amend other laws (“the Higher Education Act”), Article 22 (13) and (14) of the Constitution of Charles University, and Article 10 (7) of the Code, a subject area board of the programme of study (“Subject Area Board”) is established for each programme of study, which monitors and assesses study in a given programme of study.
2. Subject Area Board members are appointed and dismissed by the Rector; a Subject Area Board comprises at least 5 members, two thirds of whom are from among associate and full professors and one third of whom are neither from among the academic community of the Faculty nor from other participating faculties, and at least one member is not from among the academic community of the University. The Chair of the Subject Area Board is always the respective guarantor of the doctoral programme of study.
3. The Chair of a Subject Area Board convenes and manages meetings of the board, as well as its other activities; in his absence, he is substituted by a designated member of the Subject Area Board. The Subject Area Board decides by a simple majority of votes of all members on issues within its purview.
4. When monitoring and assessing study in a given field of study, the Subject Area Board performs the following tasks vested in it:
  - a) it makes proposals to the Dean regarding the appointment of advisors and supervisors of theses;
  - b) it expresses its opinion on topics of dissertation theses, and, at least once a year, decides on approvals of students’ individual curricula;
  - c) at least once a year, it considers the supervisor’s report on the assessment of the student and the fulfilment of his individual curriculum, and issues its final annual assessment of the student thereon;
  - d) it expresses its opinion on the requirements for state doctoral examinations;
  - e) it expresses its opinion on the Dean’s intention to appoint members of the State Doctoral Examination Board and the Thesis Defence Committee.
5. The Subject Area Board also makes proposals to the Dean regarding requirements for entrance examinations for doctoral programmes of study and the composition of admissions boards.

**Article 18**  
**Participation of Partner Entities**

The participation of partner entities in the financing and organizing of, and provision of staff for, a doctoral programme of study, and their representation on a Subject Area Board and admissions boards is governed by bilateral agreements between the University or the Faculty and those entities, or, as the case may be, is subject to common accreditation under Section 81 of the Higher Education Act.

**Article 19**  
**Supervisor, Supervising Entity, and Advisor**

1. When preparing an individual curriculum, and when working on the assigned research topic, a student of a doctoral programme of study is assisted by a supervisor appointed by the Dean upon consideration by the Research Board of the Faculty. If a proposed supervisor is a full professor or an associated professor, this consideration by the Research Board of the Faculty is not required. The Dean appoints and dismisses supervisors upon a proposal from the Subject Area Board. The appointment is conditional upon written consent of the supervisor to perform this office, as well as by the consent of the head of the supervising entity.
2. A supervising entity is usually the workplace of the supervisor, i.e., the place to which the supervisor has been assigned by the employer to carry out work within his employment; if the workplace of the supervisor is not unequivocally determined, or if the supervisor's workplace is not at the Faculty, the Dean will determine the supervising entity according to a proposal by the Subject Area Board.
3. A supervisor may recommend that an advisor from among the academic, research, or expert staff of the Faculty, partner entities, or other institutions be appointed. The advisor must, owing to his special professional knowledge, or his methodological and technical skills, be capable of guiding the student within the framework of a particular stage of the student's doctoral studies. The advisor is appointed, subject to his prior written consent, by the Dean, who can also dismiss him. Appointment and dismissal always takes place upon the proposal to do so by the Subject Area Board.

**Article 20**  
**Individual Curriculum**  
(under Article 10 (6) of the Code)

1. The consent of the head of a supervising entity with an individual curriculum is a necessary prerequisite for approval of that curriculum by the Subject Area Board. The individual curriculum contains in particular the assigned topic of a dissertation thesis; a list of study obligations, scholarly, research, and/or other creative obligations; as well as a list of planned or recommended study stays abroad and of other internships, and/or teaching activities (e.g., helping with exams, conducting instruction), usually elaborated in detail for the first year of study.
2. Any individual curriculum also includes an English language doctoral examination, unless the student concerned is registered for study in a study programme implemented in English. The Subject Area Board expresses its opinion on the content of this exam.

**Article 21**  
**Annual Assessment of Study and Specification of an Individual Curriculum**

1. At the end of each year of study, the student must prepare a brief annual report summarising and assessing his work, results, and fulfilment of the individual curriculum in a given year. At the same time, the student prepares, in co-operation with his supervisor, an individual curriculum for the subsequent year of study.
2. Once a year, the supervisor carries out an assessment of the student, usually based on the student's annual report, and submits a report thereon to the Subject Area Board; the student's annual report is attached to the supervisor's report. The Subject Area Board then considers and approves the assessment submitted by the supervisor, and submits the outcomes of its assessment to the Dean. In the case of assessment under Article 10 (8) (b) of the Code, the Subject Area Board will decide whether it will propose that the doctoral bursary paid to the student be decreased in compliance with Article 12 (6) of the Scholarship and Bursary Rules of Charles University.
3. At the same time, the Subject Area Board expresses its opinion on the individual curriculum for the subsequent year of study, or, as the case may be and upon agreement with the supervisor, amends it.
4. The student is informed about the results of the assessment by the Student Registry.

**Article 22**  
**Study Abroad**

1. Work at a research institution abroad may constitute a part of doctoral studies. Exams passed during study abroad may be recognised by the Dean, upon recommendation of the guarantor of the doctoral programme of study, as exams prescribed in the individual curriculum.
2. In the case of 'joint degree' international study programmes, possible exemption from and amendments to this provision are set out in an inter-university agreement on the basis of which study is implemented.

**Article 23**  
**State Doctoral Examination**

1. The Chair and other members of the at least five-member Doctoral Examination Board are appointed by the Dean. Members of the Examination Board who are neither full professors nor associated professors must be approved by the Research Board of the Faculty.
2. At least two members of the Examination Board must be scholars with an academic degree of DrSc., or professors, at least two members must come from a workplace other than the supervisory entity, and at least one member must not be a member of the academic community of the Faculty. The supervisor is usually one of the members of the Examination Board.
3. The date and venue of the State Doctoral Examination is determined by the Dean upon a proposal from the Chair of the Examination Board.

4. The date of the State Doctoral Examination must be announced and published in a publicly accessible part of the Faculty website no later than two weeks before the set date. Notification of the exam is given to the members of the Doctoral Examination Board, supervisor and student.
5. The State Doctoral Examination may take place if at least three members of the Examination Board are present.
6. After deliberation, the Examination Board decides on a grade by voting with a show of hands in a closed session. Should any member of the Examination board so request, a secret ballot takes place, making use of ballot papers. Members of the Examination Board present cannot abstain from voting. The Examination Board decides by a majority of votes; if there is an equal number of votes or the required majority has not been reached, the student is awarded the more favourable grade.

#### **Article 24**

##### **Dissertation Thesis and its Defence**

1. Defence of a dissertation thesis may only take place after all tasks prescribed in an individual curriculum have been satisfied and the State Doctoral Examination successfully passed.
2. A dissertation thesis must be submitted in the format prescribed in a Dean's decision in Czech, Slovak, or English. The paper must include an abstract written in the language of the paper, as well as in English, and a list of the student's own published works, or works accepted for publication, the outcomes of which are used in the thesis. If approved by the Dean, the dissertation thesis may be also submitted in another language. The formalities of the thesis are regulated in a Dean's measure.
3. A submitted dissertation may also take the form of a collection of thematically homogenous research papers accompanied by a unifying commentary.
4. The student submits his dissertation electronically via SIS. At the same, the student uploads into SIS an abstract of the dissertation in English, and if he is enrolled in a programme of study implemented in Czech, he also uploads into SIS an abstract in Czech.
5. For the defence, the student submits the following documents:
  - a) a brief CV with basic personal details;
  - b) a summary of the dissertation in English;
  - c) a list of published works, or works accepted for publication, and any citations thereof.
6. The minimum number of printed copies of a dissertation thesis that a student submits to the Student Registry is determined in a Dean's measure upon recommendation of the Subject Area Board.
7. The Chair and other members of the at least five-member Thesis Defence Committee are appointed by the Dean.
8. A supervisor for a student whose dissertation is to be defended cannot be a member of the Thesis Defence Committee. At least two members of the Thesis Defence Committee must

come from a workplace other than the supervising entity, and at least one member must not be a member of the academic community of the Faculty.

9. The Thesis Defence Committee will appoint two reviewers, at least one of whom does not belong to either the supervising entity or the Faculty; the Committee may appoint the reviewers from even among its own ranks. The supervisor for the student whose work is to be defended cannot be appointed as a reviewer. The Committee will notify the Dean of the names of the reviewers. Based on this notification, the Dean will inform the reviewers. The reviewers will prepare reviewer's reports on the dissertation. The supervisor will prepare an opinion on the dissertation and on the student. The student has a right to familiarise himself with the reviewer's reports, as well as with the supervisor's opinion, no later than five working days before the date of defence.
10. Upon a proposal from the Chair of the Thesis Defence Committee before which the defence will take place, the Dean will determine the date and venue of the defence.
11. The date of the defence must be announced and published in a publicly accessible part of the Faculty website no later than two weeks before the set date. For this period, the dissertation is publicly available in the Student Registry and in SIS unless the Dean decides, based on a request of the supervisor, that the thesis be exempted from publication. A notice of the defence is given to the members of the Thesis Defence Committee, reviewers, supervisor, and student. The members of the Thesis Defence Committee are also provided with the summary, supervisor's opinion, and reviewers' reports. In addition, the dissertation thesis itself is made available to them in electronic format.
12. The defence may take place if at least three members of the Thesis Defence Committee are present.
13. After deliberation, the Committee decides on a grade by voting with a show of hands in a closed session. Should any member of the Committee so request, a secret ballot takes place, making use of ballot papers. Members of the Committee present cannot abstain from voting. Voting is managed by the Chair of the Committee. The Committee decides by a majority of votes; if there is an equal number of votes or the required majority has not been reached, the student is awarded the more favourable grade. The reviewers and supervisor may attend the closed session.

**Part IV**  
**Common, Transitional and Final Provisions**

**Article 25**  
**Competence to Deal with Students' Submissions**  
(under Article 17 (3) of the Code)

The Vice-Dean for Study Issues is competent to process students' submissions regarding the organisation of study. The Dean is competent to review the processing of such submissions.

**Article 26**  
**Changing the Field of Study**

1. In the case of programmes and fields of study accredited before the coming into effect of these Rules, the following provision applies until the end of their accreditation: The Dean is entitled to place a student, on the student's request, into another field of study within one programme of study; such a change can only be made once during the student's studies.
2. Otherwise, the provisions of these Rules of Study apply with the necessary modifications to the implementation of fields of study within the programmes of study accredited under the Higher Education Act effective before September 2016.

**Article 27**  
**Final Provisions**

1. The Rules of Study at the Faculty of Mathematics and Physics approved by the Academic Senate of the Faculty on 29 May 2019 are hereby repealed.
2. This regulation was approved by the Academic Senate of the Faculty on 14 June 2017.
3. This regulation comes into force on the date of approval by the Academic Senate of Charles University.<sup>1</sup>
4. This regulation becomes effective on the first day of the calendar month following the day when it came into force.

*Doc. RNDr. Zdeněk Drozd, Ph.D.*  
President of the Academic Senate

*Prof. RNDr. Jan Kratochvíl, CSc.*  
Dean

*PhDr. Tomáš Nigrin, Ph.D.*  
President of the Academic Senate of Charles University

---

<sup>1</sup> Section 9 (1) (b) (ii) of the Higher Education Act. These Rules were approved by the Academic Senate of Charles University on 21 June 2019, and its amendments on 26 June 2020.