Introduction	Slow growth	Further directions	References
00000	00000000	000	0000

# Orbit-counting for groups acting on countable sets

Samuel Braunfeld (IUUK)

April 2, 2024 Charles University Algebra Colloquium

Introduction	
00000	

# **OVERVIEW**



#### Introduction











Introduction	Slow growth	Further directions	References
•0000	00000000		0000

# Orbit-counting

- We often want to count objects up to some symmetry, which can be formalized as orbit-counting.
- This is classical combinatorics for a group acting on a finite set.
- In the 1970s, Peter Cameron began considering group acting on a countable set.
- Given  $G \curvearrowright X$ , we naturally get an action of G on the *n*-subsets of X (g. { $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ } = { $g.x_1, \ldots, g.x_n$ }).
- Let  $f_G(n)$  count the orbits of this action on *n*-subsets.
- We place the restriction that  $f_G(n)$  is always finite.
- We will be particularly interested in the case when  $f_G(n)$  is slow, and in jumps in the allowable behavior of  $f_G(n)$ .

Introduction	Slow growth	Further directions	References
00000	00000000		0000
<b>F</b>			

#### EXAMPLES

- We will produce examples by taking *G* = *Aut*(*M*) for a suitable countable structure *M*.
- If  $M = (\mathbb{Q}, =)$  then  $f_G(n) \equiv 1$ . Similarly if  $M = (\mathbb{Q}, <)$ .
- If *M* is an equivalence relation with *k* infinite classes, then  $f_G(n) \approx n^{k-1}$ .
- If *M* is an equivalence relation with infinitely many infinite classes, then  $f_G(n)$  is the partition function ( $\approx e^{\sqrt{n}}$ ).
- If *M* is a has two refining equivalence relations with infinitely many infinite classes, then  $f_G(n) \approx e^{n/\log n}$ .
- If *M* is an equivalence relation with classes of size 2 and a linear order on the classes, then  $f_G(n)$  is the Fibonacci sequence ( $\approx 1.618^n$ ).

# EXAMPLES (CONTD.)

- If *M* is the generic local order, then  $f_G(n) \approx 2^n$ .
- If *M* is a suitable tree-like structure, then  $f_G(n)$  is the Catalan sequence ( $\approx 4^n$ ).
- If *M* is a suitable permutation, then  $f_G(n) = n!$  is the number of finite permutations of size *n*.
- If *M* is a suitable graph, then  $f_G(n)$  is the number of graphs of size  $n \approx 2^{n^2}$ .

Introduction SI	low growth	Further directions	References
00000 0		000	0000

# COUNTING SUBSTRUCTURES

- This orbit-counting is equivalent to counting (up to isomorphism) *n*-substructures of nice countable structures.
- Given G ∼ X, we may produce a relational structure M so that Aut(M) ∼ M has the same growth rate.
- The *M* produced has two nice properties indicating high symmetry.
  - The finiteness condition on *f<sub>Aut(M)</sub>(n)* is equivalent to *M* being ω-categorical: it is uniquely determined by its first-order theory and by being countable.
  - The M produced is *homogeneous*: orbits on *n*-subsets correspond to isomorphism types of *n*-substructures.
- We let  $f_M(n)$  count the *n*-substructures of *M*.
- Our original problem is equivalent to: For  $\omega$ -categorical and homogeneous M, understand  $f_M(n)$ .

#### THE MODEL-THEORETIC APPROACH

- Model theory provides a series of "dividing lines" separating tame from wild behavior.
- Wild behavior is witnessed by the structure encoding a particular complicated configuration.
- Tame behavior ideally corresponds to a well-behaved independence notion, allowing a recursive decomposition of tame structures into simple independent parts.
- These dividing lines are often considered successively, accumulating more and more information.

#### Some early results on growth rate

- $f_M(n)$  is weakly increasing [Cam76], [Pou76]
- Classification of *M* such that  $f_M \equiv 1$  [Cam76]
- If  $f_M(n)$  is not bounded above by a polynomial, then it is bounded below by the partition function ( $\approx e^{\sqrt{n}}$ ) [Mac85a]
- If *M* is primitive and  $f_M(n) \neq 1$ , then  $f_M(n) \geq (\sqrt[5]{2})^n / poly(n)$  [Mac85b]
- Under a further assumption, either  $f_M(n)$  is slower than  $2^{n^{1+\epsilon}}$  or is at least  $2^{O(n^2)}$  [Mac87].

# CONJECTURES AND RECENT RESULTS

#### Conjecture (Cameron, Macpherson)

- If  $f_M(n)$  is bounded above by a polynomial, then  $f_M(n) \sim cn^k$  for some  $c > 0, k \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- Suppose f<sub>M</sub>(n) is not bounded above by a polynomial, but is bounded above by e<sup>n<sup>1-ϵ</sup></sup> for some ϵ > 0. Then there are k ∈ N, ϵ > 0 such that

$$e^{n^{1-1/k-\epsilon}} < f_M(n) < e^{n^{1-1/k+\epsilon}}$$

Suppose *M* is primitive and  $f_M(n) \neq 1$ . Then  $f_M(n) \geq 2^n/poly(n)$ .

- Stronger form of (1) proved in [FT20] by algebraic combinatorics.
- For (3), Macpherson's base of <sup>5</sup>√2 was improved to ≈ 1.324 [Mer01] and then ≈ 1.576 [Sim18]

Introduction 00000	Slow growth	Further directions	References 0000

# NIP

- A structure is *NIP* ("not the independence property") if it cannot encode arbitrary finite (bipartite) graphs.
- If *M* is not NIP, then  $f_M(n) \ge 2^{O(n^2)}$ .
- Let *V* be a countable-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . There is a coloring of the points of *V* so the resulting structure is not NIP.
- $\{a_0, b_0, a_1, b_1, \ldots\}$  is linearly independent, and  $c_{i,j} = a_i + b_j$ .



Introduction 00000	Slow growth 000●0000	Further directions	References 0000

# STABILITY

- A structure is *stable* if it cannot encode an infinite linear order. This is stronger than NIP.
- Slow growth does not imply stability, e.g.  $(\mathbb{Q}, <)$ .
- But note the growth rate of (ℚ, <) is the same as (ℚ, =).

#### Theorem (Simon [Sim18])

Let M be an  $\omega$ -categorical homogeneous structure such that  $f_M(n) < \phi^n/\text{poly}(n)$ . Then there is a stable reduct M\* so that  $f_M(n) = f_{M^*}(n)$ .

#### Theorem (Simon [Sim18])

Let M be an  $\omega$ -categorical homogeneous structure such that  $\phi^n/poly(n) \leq f_M(n) < 2^n/poly(n)$ . Then M is not primitive.

• So to prove the conjectures, it suffices to understand stable *M*.

Introduction	Slow growth	Further directions	References
00000	00000000	000	0000

# MONADIC STABILITY

- Stability does not imply slow growth, e.g. vector spaces.
- *M* is *monadically stable* if every structure obtained by coloring of the points of *M* is stable.
- Vector spaces are stable, but we have seen they are not monadically stable (not even monadically NIP).

#### Proposition (B. [Bra22])

Let *M* be  $\omega$ -categorical, homogeneous, and stable.

- If M is monadically stable, then  $f_M(n)$  is slower than any exponential.
- **2** If M is not monadically stable, then  $f_M(n)$  is faster than any exponential.
  - So it remains to understand monadically stable *M*.

# THE BALDWIN-SHELAH THEOREM

#### Theorem (Baldwin-Shelah [BS85])

The following are equivalent for a structure M.

- *M* is monadically stable.
- M is stable and forking dependence is well-behaved (reduces to singletons and is transitive).
- *M* is stable and does not code a grid on singletons.
- M admits a tree-decomposition using countable substructures.
  - Lachlan [Lac92] classified  $\omega$ -categorical monadically stable structures.

Slow growth 00000000	Further directions	References 0000
	Slow growth 000000€0	Slow growth Further directions

#### THE MAIN THEOREMS

#### Theorem (B. [Bra22])

Let M be  $\omega$ -categorical and homogeneous. If  $f_M(n)$  is slower than  $\phi^n/\text{poly}(n)$ , then it is sub-exponential, and falls into one of the following cases.

• There are 
$$c > 0, k \in \mathbb{N}$$
 so  $f_M(n) \sim cn^k$ .

② *There are* 
$$c > 0, k \in \mathbb{N}$$
 *so*  $f_M(n) = \exp((c + o(1))(n^{1-1/k}))$ .

**●** *There are* 
$$c > 0, k, r \in \mathbb{N}$$
 *so*  $f_M(n) = \exp((c + o(1))(\frac{n}{\log^r(n)^{1/k}})).$ 

*Furthermore, every such growth rate is realized by a monadically stable M*.

#### Theorem (B. [Bra22])

Let M be  $\omega$ -categorical, homogeneous, and primitive. If  $f_M(n)$  is not constant 1, then  $f_M(n)$  is at least  $2^n/poly(n)$ .

# WHY MODEL THEORY?

- Model theory provides several dividing lines that can be used to explain jumps in complexity.
- Together with these dividing lines, there are various notions of independence to decompose tame structures.
- Model theory passes between different models of a theory, and allows asymptotic analysis on cardinals.

Introduction	Slow growth	Further directions	References
00000	00000000	•00	0000

# QUESTIONS

- What about when  $f_M(n)$  is at most exponential?
- What about monadic NIP?
  - We conjecture  $f_M(n)$  is at most exponential  $\iff M$  is monadically NIP.
  - We prove an analogue of the Baldwin-Shelah theorem for monadic NIP [BL21].
- Why does *monadic* stability appear?
  - We show that in hereditary classes, stability/NIP collapses to monadic stability/NIP [BL22].
- How important is the group action?
  - Monadic stability/NIP specialize to important notions in structural graph theory in hereditary classes.

# CHARACTERIZATIONS OF MONADIC NIP

#### Theorem (B.-Laskowski [BL21])

The following are equivalent for a complete theory T.

- *T* is monadically stable NIP.
- T is stable and forking Finite satisfiability dependence reduces to singletons and is transitive.
- **•** *T is stable and does not code a grid on singletons tuples.*
- Models of T admit a tree-decomposition into an ordered sequence of independent pieces.
- S Models of T have "linear rankwidth" bounded by some cardinal.
  - Like Baldwin-Shelah, this does not yield a good structure theory in the countable.

# CONCLUSION

- (Monadic) stability/NIP provide broad generalizations of notions from finite combinatorics, capturing tree-like structure.
- The infinitary combinatorics and geometry of model-theoretic dividing lines is reflected in the finite.
- This can be used both to solve concrete problems and to provide a "geography" of classes of interest.

introduction	Slow growth	Further directions	References
20000	00000000	000	••••

# **References** I

- Samuel Braunfeld and Michael C Laskowski. Characterizations of monadic NIP. *Transactions of the AMS, Series B*, 8:948–970, 2021.
- Samuel Braunfeld and Michael C Laskowski. Existential characterizations of monadic NIP. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.05120, 2022.*
- Samuel Braunfeld. Monadic stability and growth rates of ω-categorical structures.
  Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, 124(2):2

*Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 124(3):373–386, 2022.

John T Baldwin and Saharon Shelah. Second-order quantifiers and the complexity of theories. Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic, 26(3):229–303, 1985.

Introduction 00000	Slow growth	Further directions	References ••••

# **REFERENCES II**

Peter J Cameron. Transitivity of permutation groups on unordered sets. Mathematische Zeitschrift, 148:127–139, 1976.

Justine Falque and Nicolas M Thiéry. Classification of P-oligomorphic groups, conjectures of Cameron and Macpherson. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.05296*, 2020.

#### Alistair H. Lachlan.

ℵ<sub>0</sub>-categorical tree-decomposable structures. *The Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 57(2):501–514, 1992.

#### HD Macpherson.

Growth rates in infinite graphs and permutation groups. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 3(2):285–294, 1985.

ntroduction	Slow growth	Further directions	References
20000	00000000	000	●●●●

# **References III**

#### HD Macpherson. Orbits of infinite permutation groups. Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, 3(2):246–284, 1985.

HD Macpherson.

Infinite permutation groups of rapid growth. Journal of the London Mathematical Society, 2(2):276–286, 1987.



#### Francesca Merola.

Orbits on *n*-tuples for infinite permutation groups. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 22(2):225–241, 2001.

#### Maurice Pouzet.

Application d'une propriété combinatoire des parties d'un ensemble aux groupes et aux relations. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 150:117–134, 1976.

# **REFERENCES IV**

#### Pierre Simon.

On omega-categorical structures with few finite substructures.

arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.06531, 2018.